Activity of Descending Propriospinal Axons in the Turtle Hindlimb Enlargement during Two Forms of Fictive Scratching: Phase Analyses
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In the preceding companion article (Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b), we showed that many descending propriospinal neurons in the turtle were rhythmically activated during two different motor patterns, fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching. In this article, we present phase analyses of the activity of each such neuron during fictive scratching. Each neuron's activity was concentrated in a particular phase of the ipsilateral hip flexor muscle nerve (VP-HP) activity cycle; each had a distinct "preferred phase." Each neuron's preferred phase during fictive rostral scratching was similar to its preferred phase during fictive pocket scratching. This result is consistent with the idea that some descending propriospinal neurons may contribute to the generation of both rostral scratching and pocket scratching.

Many descending propriospinal neurons were rhythmically activated during fictive scratching evoked on either side of the body. This activity may contribute to production of bilateral hindlimb movements during scratching. It is also possible that synaptic interactions between the two sides of the spinal cord may be important in generating the motor patterns for movement of a single hindlimb.

In addition, we present a model which illustrates that a population of propriospinal neurons, each of which is broadly tuned to a region of the body surface and is rhythmically activated in a constant phase of the hip control cycle, could mediate the selection and generation of rostral scratching and pocket scratching. Thus, the selection of an appropriate motor pattern and the production of the required knee–hip synergy may each be distributed over a diverse population of spinal cord neurons. This model requires that each such neuron project to both knee muscle and hip muscle motoneurons. According to this model, the process of selecting a motor pattern would not be completed until knee muscle motoneurons integrate overlapping excitatory and inhibitory inputs.
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enlargement were excited during both fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching in such turtles. Many of these neurons were rhythmically activated during both forms of fictive scratching. In this article, we present quantitative analyses of the activity of those single neurons that were activated throughout the rostral scratch and pocket scratch receptive fields on at least one side of the body; we analyze their activity with respect to the concurrent scratch motor patterns.

Hip control is a key element in many rhythmic limb behaviors (see Grillon, 1981), including rostral scratching and pocket scratching (see Robertson et al., 1985). Hip protration (flexion) and hip retraction (extension) alternate in each form of turtle scratching. The relative timing of knee extension within the hip movement cycle distinguishes each form of scratching (Mortin et al., 1985). For each scratch form, the hindlimb rubs against the stimulated site during knee extension. Knee extension occurs during hip flexion in rostral scratching but during hip extension in pocket scratching. In immobilized turtles (Robertson et al., 1985), knee extensor (FT-KE) motoneurons are active during the burst of hip flexor (VP-HP) motoneuron activity in fictive rostral scratching; FT-KE motoneurons are active during the burst of hip extensor (HR-KF) activity in fictive pocket scratching. Here, we examine the possibility that the timing of activity in single descending propriospinal neurons was related to the cycle of activity in VP-HP motoneurons during one or both of these forms of scratching. To do so, we developed a “dual-referent” method for phase analysis of single-neuron activity with respect to both the onset and the offset of activity in a muscle nerve. This method was then used to describe the rhythmicity of descending propriospinal neurons with respect to the VP-HP nerve activity cycle during fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching. The single-unit and motor-nerve recordings to which this method was applied are described in the preceding companion article (Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b).

The present results have previously been published in abstract form (Berkowitz and Stein, 1990, 1991, 1992) and in a doctoral thesis (Berkowitz, 1993).

Materials and Methods

Dual-referent phase histograms. Descending propriospinal axons were recorded in the turtle hindlimb enlargement during tactile elicitation of fictive rostral scratching or fictive pocket scratching. Concurrent recordings were made from the hip protractor (flexor) muscle nerve VP-HP, on both the right and the left sides, while recording from descending propriospinal axons on the right side, in turtles with the D3-D9 spinal cord intact. Several other nerves were also recorded on the right side, including the monoarticular knee extensor (FT-KE) motoneuron nerve. The methods used for recording and stimulation are detailed in the preceding companion article (Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b), where we focused on the sensory-related responses of the 104 descending propriospinal neurons recorded. In this article, we use a subset (the 58 motor receptive field neurons) of this data set to analyze the phase relationships between propriospinal neuron activity and scratch motor patterns. We developed a method to calculate the mean rate of action potential (spike) generation in single neurons in each phase of the activity cycle of a motor nerve (Fig. 1). The VP-HP nerve on the same side as the tactile stimulation generated strong and reliable bursts during fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching. Thus, this nerve provided a sound basis for single-unit phase analyses. Single-unit phase analyses were performed with respect to the right (ipsilateral) VP-HP nerve for right side stimulations and with respect to the left (contralateral) VP-HP nerve for left side stimulations (see Figs. 7, 8). The VP-HP nerve contralateral to the stimulation generated very weak bursts (see Fig. 6, see also Curtis and Stein, 1989, their Fig. 5C, D; Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b). Occasionally, it was possible to use the right VP-HP as the basis for single-unit phase analyses during stimulation of either side of the body (see Fig. 6).

Each VP-HP nerve activity cycle was defined to begin at the onset of a burst of nerve activity and to end at the onset of the next burst of nerve activity. Each nerve burst (or nerve-active period) was divided into five equal time bins, with each nerve inactive period also divided into five equal time bins (see Mardia, 1972; Bums and Usherwood, 1979). For example, the neuron in Figure 1A had a mean vector angle of 0°, indicating that the mean activity was not related to the nerve activity cycle. A mean vector length of 0.08 indicates the extent to which single-unit activity was concentrated within one bin of the nerve activity cycle; a mean vector length of 1 indicates that all single-unit activity occurred within one bin of the nerve activity cycle. A mean vector length of 0 indicates that the mean firing rate was constant or random; that is, the unit’s activity was not related to the nerve activity cycle. A mean vector length of 1 indicates that all the single-unit activity occurred within one bin, the same bin in each cycle. For example, the strongly rhythmic neuron in Figure 1A had a mean vector length of 0.89; the very weakly rhythmic neuron in Figure 1B had a mean vector length of 0.08. The mean vector angle indicates in which phase of the cycle, on average, the unit’s activity was concentrated; it is the “center of mass” of the distribution. It varies from 0° to 360° (which are equal). For example, the neuron in Figure 1A had a mean vector angle of 204°; this means that its activity was concentrated just after the offset of VP-HP activity. The use of the mean vector to characterize distributions of single-unit activity has been used previously to analyze single-unit activity data (Mardia, 1972, example 2.4). The description in terms of mean vector length and mean vector angle greatly facilitates the process of comparing distributions of single-unit activity within nerve activity
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cycles for different sites of stimulation, different types of motor patterns, and different descending propriospinal neurons.

One might obtain a very high value of mean vector length from analysis of a unit's activity within the nerve activity cycle even if only a small amount of data were available. In such a case, the high value of mean vector length could have occurred by chance. On the other hand, a relatively low value of mean vector length obtained from a large amount of data could indicate that a neuron was active with only weak rhythmic modulation, but reliably so. For these reasons, we employed a statistical test, the Rayleigh test (see Mardia, 1972, Graham, 1977, Batschelet, 1981), to evaluate the null hypothesis that the unit's activity was random with respect to the nerve activity cycle, for each site of stimulation. In this test, the number of observations was given by the number of unit spikes contributing to the analysis. For it to be concluded that a unit's activity displayed rhythmic modulation related to fictive scratching, the unit was required to pass the Rayleigh test at the }
Figure 2. Comparison of methods for phase analysis of single-unit activity within a muscle nerve activity cycle. A, Concurrent recordings from a descending propriospinal axon and the ipsilateral VP-HP muscle nerve during four cycles of ipsilateral fictive rostral scratching. See Berkowitz and Stein (1994b) for description of recording methods. B–D, Phase analyses of the single-unit activity shown in A, using nerve-onset phase histograms (B), nerve-offset phase histograms (C), and dual-referent phase histograms (D). See Materials and Methods for detailed description of these three methods of analysis. UNIT FREQ., instantaneous firing rate of recorded unit.
was included in summary graphs only if the data included at least two nerve activity cycles and at least 10 unit spikes.

To facilitate comparison of a unit's activity during fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching, unit mean vector and unit mean vector from Figs. 5, 7, representative unit mean vectors were selected, one each for fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching. The site along the epidermal shield borders from SP1 to SP3 (for descriptions of sites, see Mortin et al., 1985; Morton and Stein, 1990; Fig. 1 of Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b) that passed the Rayleigh test with the lowest probability of random unit activity was selected. The unit mean vector for a given site of stimulation and along the epidermal shield borders from SP1 to marginal 5.0 on both sides of the body. Such comparisons were conducted on all units for which sufficient data were available (at least two cycles of fictive scratching and at least 10 unit spikes) from mechanical stimulation of the distribution of unit mean firing rate was related to the nerve activity cycle. Thus, if the timing of a neuron's activity is related to the timing of activity in a particular nerve (as the activity of the "offset neurons") is to be determined, single-referent phase histograms may be a more appropriate method of single-unit phase analysis for rhythmic motor patterns in which duty cycle can vary.

Comparison of Methods. A more traditional method of characterizing single-unit activity within activity cycles of a nerve involves the use of single-referent phase histograms (Fig. 2). Most commonly, each nerve activity cycle would be defined to begin at the onset of a nerve burst and to end at the onset of the next nerve burst. Then, each such cycle would be divided into equal bins (e.g., 10 equal bins), and the total number of single-unit spikes or the single-unit mean firing rate would be calculated for each of these bins. The graphical results can be called "nerve-onset phase histograms" (Fig. 2B). Alternatively, one could define each nerve activity cycle to begin at the offset of a nerve burst and to end at the offset of the next nerve burst. An analogous process could then be followed to produce "nerve-offset phase histograms" (Fig. 2C). If, instead, one divides each cycle into two parts, the nerve-active period and the nerve-inactive period, and then divides each part into equal bins for calculation of single-unit mean firing rates, one generates dual-referent phase histograms (Fig. 2D), because both nerve onset and nerve offset are used in the procedure.

To produce either single-referent phase histograms or dual-referent phase histograms that average data from several cycles, one must use different bin widths for different cycles, because the period of the cycle is not identical for all cycles (i.e., 1/10 of the cycle will be different amount of data for each cycle). This means that the single-unit mean firing rate in each bin is a more reliable measure than the total number of single-unit spikes in each bin; the latter number is a function of the bin duration. To produce dual-referent phase histograms, one must use different bin widths for the two parts of each cycle. Thus, single-referent phase histograms normalize for cycle period; dual-referent phase histograms normalize for both the duration of the nerve-active and nerve-inactive period. The proportion of the cycle during which the nerve is active is called the duty cycle. If the duty cycle of the referent nerve were constant, nerve-onset phase histograms and nerve-offset phase histograms would give the same results.

In this case, however, there would be no reason to use dual-referent phase histograms. If, however, the duty cycle varies substantially (e.g., Fig. 2A; the VP-HP burst is a much larger fraction of the cycle in the first cycle shown than in the fourth cycle shown), both types of single-referent phase histograms have substantially different from cycle to cycle; in addition, nerve-onset phase histograms will also be different from cycle to cycle. Single-referent phase histograms give substantially different results from nerve-offset phase histograms (compare Fig. 2B, C). If, for example, a unit is active in the middle of each nerve burst (e.g., Fig. 2A), nerve-onset phase histograms depict the unit activity as occurring earlier in the cycle (compare Fig. 2B) than the nerve-offset histogram (compare Fig. 2C). Nerve-onset phase histograms do not provide information about the phase relationship of the unit activity to the nerve burst (compare Fig. 2D). The timing of a neuron's activity is related to the timing of activity in a particular nerve (as the activity of the neuron in Fig. 2A appears to be), single-referent phase histograms are not the best way to assess it. In contrast, dual-referent phase histograms correctly depict the activity of such a unit as occurring in the middle of the nerve burst in each cycle (Fig. 2D, first through fourth cycle; dual-referent phase histograms using the mean values of unit mean firing rate from several nerve cycles correctly depict the unit's activity as being concentrated in the nerve-active portion of the cycle (Fig. 2D, mean cycle).

The duty cycle of the VP-HP nerve (and other hindlimb muscle nerves) does not remain constant in fictive scratching (unpublished observations). Instead, the duty cycle varies substantially for different forms of fictive scratching, for different sites of stimulation within a single form, and for different cycles within a single episode of fictive scratching (e.g., Fig. 2A). Duty cycle also varies substantially in other rhythmic behaviors. For example, the duty cycle of the swing phase of stepping in a vertebrate changes dramatically when cycle period changes (see Grillner, 1975). If the activity of each unit recorded were concentrated either at the onset or at the offset of each burst of a particular nerve, one might choose to use nerve-onset phase histograms to describe the activity of the "onset neurons" and nerve-offset phase histograms to describe the activity of the "offset neurons." In our data (see Fig. 5A), individual neurons can have rhythmic activity concentrated in any of several phases of the nerve activity cycle. Thus, dual-referent phase histograms may be a more appropriate method of single-unit phase analysis for rhythmic motor patterns in which duty cycle can vary.

Results

Phases of unit activity in fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching

Of 104 tactionally responsive, descending propriospinal axons recorded in the turtle hindlimb enlargement, 58 units (56%) were activated during fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching evoked by stimulation anywhere within the rostral scratch or pocket scratch receptive fields, on at least one side of the body ("motor RF units"; see Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b, for details on unit classification). The activity of 45 of these units (78%) showed rhythmic modulation during fictive scratching. The phase of the activity cycle of the ipsilateral hip flexor (VP-HP) muscle nerve in which each unit's activity was concentrated was assessed for all units (n = 13) that were rhythmically activated (see Materials and Methods for criteria) during mechanical stimulation of at least four sites on the ipsilateral body surface. The data from four of these units are illustrated in Figure 3. Qualitative examination of the single-unit and VP-HP nerve recordings indicated that, for a given site of stimulation, a given unit's activity was concentrated in particular phase of the VP-HP activity cycle, approximately the same phase in every cycle (Fig. 3A). In addition, the unit's activity was concentrated in approximately the same phase of the VP-HP cycle during fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching (Fig. 3B). In contrast, the unit's activity was concentrated in different phases of the ipsilateral FT-KE (monarticular knee extensor muscle) nerve activity cycle during fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching (Fig. 3B). Quantitative examination with dual-referent phase histograms confirmed that the distribution of single-unit activity with respect to the VP-HP nerve activity cycle was very similar during fictive scratching and fictive pocket scratching (Fig. 3B). The preferred phase of each such distribution can be described by its mean vector angle, with 0° (or 360°) defined as the onset of VP-HP activity and 180° defined as the offset of VP-HP activity (see Materials and Methods). For the unit shown in Figure 3, a mean vector angle was between 204° and 251° for all sites stimulated in the ipsilateral rostral scratch and pocket scratch receptive fields (Fig. 3C). Thus, this unit's activity was consistently concentrated in the first half of the period of in-
Figure 3. Phases of the ipsilateral VP-HP nerve activity cycle in which single-unit activity was concentrated during tactile stimulation of different sites in the ipsilateral rostral scratch and pocket scratch receptive fields. A. Concurrent recordings from a single descending propriospinal axon and the ipsilateral FT-KE (knee extensor) and VP-HP (hip flexor) muscle nerves, during ipsilateral fictive rostral scratching (a) and ipsilateral fictive pocket scratching (b). B. Dual-referent phase histograms of single-unit activity within the ipsilateral VP-HP activity cycle: Ba and Bb are the phase histograms for the recordings in Aa and Ab, respectively. C. Unit mean vector angles with respect to the ipsilateral VP-HP nerve activity cycle for the unit illustrated in A and B, at several sites in the ipsilateral pocket scratch and rostral scratch receptive fields. Ca and Cb indicate the mean vector angles for the sites stimulated in A and B, a-b, respectively. D-F, Unit mean vector angles with respect to the ipsilateral VP-HP nerve activity cycle at several sites in the ipsilateral pocket scratch and rostral scratch receptive fields, for three other descending propriospinal units. Error bars in C-F indicate the SEM. See Berkowitz and Stein (1994b) for description of recording methods. See Mortin et al. (1985), Mortin and Stein (1990), and Berkowitz and Stein (1994b, Fig. 1) for description of sites on the body surface. See Materials and Methods for explanation of mean vector angle. UNIT FREQ., instantaneous firing rate of recorded unit; w.r.t., with respect to.

activity of the ipsilateral VP-HP nerve, whether fictive rostral scratching or fictive pocket scratching was evoked. Each of several other units had a different preferred phase and each unit's preferred phase remained relatively constant for all sites stimulated in the ipsilateral rostral scratch and pocket scratch receptive fields (Fig. 3D-F). Figure 3D shows an example of a unit with a preferred phase that changed gradually across the pocket scratch and rostral scratch receptive fields. This unit's
Figure 4. Expected phase delay of activity in knee control-related neurons during fictive pocket scratching as compared to fictive rostral scratching. A and B, Examples of relative timing of FT-KE (monoarticular knee extensor) muscle nerve bursts and VP-HP (hip flexor) muscle nerve bursts during fictive rostral scratching (A) and fictive pocket scratching (B) in a turtle with the D3–D9 spinal segments intact. C, Expected relationship between unit mean vector angles with respect to ipsilateral VP-HP during ipsilateral fictive pocket scratching and ipsilateral fictive rostral scratching, for units involved in knee control and units involved in hip control. w.r.t., with respect to.

phases were one of the largest of the units studied. The site (Fem8) in the pocket scratch receptive field that evoked the most clearly rhythmic activity (i.e., the unit's activity had the highest probability of being related to the nerve activity cycle by the Rayleigh test) had a mean vector angle of 295°; the site (SP2) in the rostral scratch receptive field that evoked the most clearly rhythmic activity had a mean vector angle of 247°, yielding a phase shift of 48° between fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching (see Fig. 5).

Fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching are primarily distinguished by the relative timing of the FT-KE (knee extensor) nerve burst and the VP-HP (hip flexor) nerve burst (Fig. 4A,B; see also Mortin et al., 1985; Robertson et al., 1985). In rostral scratching, each FT-KE burst begins during the VP-HP burst and ends at the same time as the VP-HP burst ends (Fig. 4A). In pocket scratching, each FT-KE burst begins near the end of the VP-HP burst and continues through the period when VP-HP is inactive (Fig. 4B). Thus, the timing of the FT-KE burst with respect to the VP-HP activity cycle is phase delayed about 90–180° in pocket scratching as compared to rostral scratching. If the timing of a propriospinal neuron's activity were always related to the control of hip movement, its mean vector angle with respect to VP-HP (a hip flexor) would be expected to remain constant. If such a propriospinal neuron were rhythmically active during both fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching, it would be expected to have the same mean vector angle with respect to VP-HP during both forms of fictive scratching (Fig. 4C). If, on the other hand, the timing of a propriospinal neuron's activity were always related to control of knee movement, it would be expected to have different mean vector angles with respect to VP-HP, depending on whether fictive rostral scratching or fictive pocket scratching were evoked. Specifically, its mean vector angle with respect to VP-HP would be phase delayed 90–180° in fictive pocket scratching as compared to fictive rostral scratching (Fig. 4C).

The actual mean vector angles of descending propriospinal neurons in fictive pocket scratching as compared to fictive rostral scratching are shown in Figure 5A. This comparison includes all rhythmic motor RF units for which such an analysis could be done (see Materials and Methods). For all but one unit, the unit's mean vector angle with respect to VP-HP was approximately the same for the two forms of fictive scratching (Fig. 5). The correlation coefficient was 0.94. This analysis suggests that the timing of activity in nearly all descending propriospinal neurons recorded was closely related to hip control and was not closely related to knee control.

Phases of unit activity in ipsilateral and contralateral fictive scratching

Of 51 tactilely responsive descending propriospinal axons recorded on the right side during stimulation of either side of the body, 18 (35%) were rhythmically excited by stimulation of either side [i.e., a mean vector calculated with respect to the right (ipsilateral) VP-HP nerve during ipsilateral fictive scratching and a mean vector calculated with respect to the left (contralateral) VP-HP nerve during contralateral fictive scratching both passed the Rayleigh test with p < 0.01; see Materials and Methods]. When fictive scratching was evoked on one side, the VP-HP nerve on the opposite side was weakly activated, usually in bursts that were out of phase with the stronger VP-HP bursts
on the stimulated side (Fig. 6; see also Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b). Qualitative examination of the activity of bilaterally responsive neurons suggested that most units that were activated in a particular phase of the ipsilateral VP-HP nerve activity cycle during ipsilateral fictive scratching were activated in a similar phase of the ipsilateral VP-HP nerve activity cycle during contralateral fictive scratching (e.g., Fig. 6Aa,Ab). For a few such units, the weak ipsilateral VP-HP bursts evoked during contralateral fictive scratching had sufficiently clear onsets and offsets to form the basis of a quantitative single-unit phase analysis. In these cases, the unit mean vector angles with respect to ipsilateral VP-HP were approximately the same in ipsilateral and contralateral fictive scratching (e.g., Fig. 6Ac). Qualitative examination suggested that some other units were rhythmically activated in a very different phase of the ipsilateral VP-HP nerve activity cycle during ipsilateral and contralateral fictive scratching (e.g., Fig. 6Ba,Bb). Whenever the quantitative phase analysis could be conducted for these units, the unit mean vector angles with respect to ipsilateral VP-HP were substantially different in ipsilateral and contralateral fictive scratching (Fig. 6Bc). This was true despite the fact that the unit mean vector angles were approximately the same during fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching evoked on a single side (Fig. 6Bc).

**Strengths of rhythmic modulation of unit activity**

The activity of the descending propriospinal neurons recorded displayed a wide range of strengths of rhythmic modulation during fictive scratching. The strength of rhythmic modulation of a unit's activity with respect to a nerve activity cycle can be described by the unit's mean vector length, which can vary from 0 to 1 (see Materials and Methods). A mean vector length of 0 indicates that the unit's activity was random with respect to the nerve's activity; a mean vector length of 1 indicates that all the unit's activity occurred in the same phase of the nerve activity cycle. Unit mean vector lengths, with respect to the VP-HP nerve on the same side as fictive scratching was evoked, are shown in Figure 7 for all motor RF units recorded for which sufficient data were available (see Materials and Methods). For some neurons, the mean vector length was nearly 0 or nearly 1, but for most neurons, it was between 0.2 and 0.8. Thus, there must be a continuous distribution of strengths of rhythmic modulation among descending propriospinal neurons during fictive scratching.

An individual unit could show very different degrees of rhythmic modulation during stimulation of different sites, just as a unit could be active with very different firing rates during stimulation of different sites (see Fig. 9 of Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b). Some units clearly showed stronger rhythmic modulation whenever they generated spikes at a lower rate (Fig. 8A). When the relationship between unit mean vector length and mean firing rate was examined for all sites of stimulation for four such units (see Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b), for mean firing rate calculation methods, the unit's mean firing rate was negatively correlated with the unit's mean vector length in all four cases (Fig. 8B-E). The relationship between unit mean vector length and mean firing rate was examined for all units for which sufficient data were available (see Materials and Methods). The unit's mean firing rate was negatively correlated with the unit's mean vector length in 9 of the 10 units. The correlation coefficients for all 10 units ranged from +0.18 to −0.98, with a mean of −0.57 and a standard deviation of 0.36.

**Discussion**

**Phases of unit activity in fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching**

Many tactiley responsive descending propriospinal axons recorded in the turtle hindlimb enlargement were rhythmically excited by stimulation anywhere within the rostral scratch or pocket scratch receptive fields on at least one side of the body (Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b). Phase analyses of single-unit activity during fictive scratching have now shown that each such neuron was activated in a particular phase of the activity cycle of hip flexor (VP-HP) motoneurons during stimulation of a variety of sites on one side of the body. In fact, for nearly all such neurons, the neuron was activated during fictive rostral scratching in approximately the same phase of the VP-HP ac-
Figure 6. Phases of single-unit activity in the ipsilateral VP-HP nerve activity cycle during ipsilateral and contralateral fictive scratching. a and b in A and B are examples of concurrent recordings from a descending propriospinal axon, the ipsilateral VP-HP muscle nerve, and the contralateral VP-HP muscle nerve, during ipsilateral (a) and contralateral (b) fictive rostral scratching. A, A unit that was active in a similar phase of the ipsilateral VP-HP nerve activity cycle during fictive scratching evoked on either side of the body. B, A unit that was active in very different phases of the ipsilateral VP-HP nerve activity cycle during ipsilateral and contralateral fictive scratching. Ipsilateral and contralateral SP3 were the sites stimulated in Aa and Ab, respectively. Ipsilateral and contralateral SP2 were the sites stimulated in Ba and Bb, respectively. Aa and Ab. Unit mean vector angles for all sites stimulated on both sides of the body, for the units illustrated in Aa, Ab, Ba, and Bb, respectively. w.r.t., with respect to.

We did not demonstrate directly that these neurons contributed to generation of motor activity. It is likely, however, that some of the descending propriospinal neurons recorded contributed to the generation of scratch motor rhythms. Since many of these neurons were rhythmically activated during both forms of fictive scratching, some may contribute to generation of both rostral scratch and pocket scratch motor patterns.

This evidence supports the hypothesis that individual vertebrate CNS neurons can contribute to generation of multiple motor patterns or behaviors. Studies in other vertebrate species and systems have also supported this hypothesis. Rhythmic
activity has been recorded from individual spinal premotor interneurons during both fictive swimming and fictive struggling in embryonic tadpoles (Soffe et al., 1984; Soffe, 1993). Individual cervical propriospinal neurons in the cat have been shown to be rhythmically active during fictive respiration, in addition to fictive vomiting, fictive coughing, or fictive swallowing (Nonaka and Millet, 1991; Grelot et al., 1993). Rhythmic activity has also been recorded from inhibitory interneurons, ventral spinocerebellar tract neurons, and Renshaw cells in the cat spinal cord during actual or fictive scratching (Arshavsky et al., 1978; Deliagina and Orlovsky, 1980; Deliagina and Feldman, 1981) and actual or fictive locomotion (Arshavsky et al., 1972; Feldman and Orlovsky, 1975; Pratt and Jordan, 1987), although scratching and locomotion were elicited during different recordings. Individual unidentified spinal interneurons have also been shown to be rhythmically active during fictive scratching and "slow oscillations" (perhaps fictive stepping) in cats (Bernsblit et al., 1978b). If many CNS neurons are rhythmically activated during two rhythmic behaviors, it seems likely that some of them contribute to generation of both behaviors. Thus, this combination of studies builds the case that the sets of CNS neurons used in generation of different vertebrate behaviors may be largely overlapping, as has been shown for several invertebrate behaviors (see Getting and Dekin, 1985; Dickinson and Moulins, 1992; Kristan et al., 1992; Marder and Weimann, 1992; Heinzel et al., 1993; Pearson, 1993).

For most descending propriospinal neurons, during stimulation of one side of the body, the neuron was activated during fictive rostral scratching in a phase of a hip muscle nerve's activity cycle that was similar to its phase during fictive pocket scratching. Fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching are primarily distinguished by the relative timing of knee extensor muscle nerve bursts and hip flexor muscle nerve bursts (Fig. 4; see also Robertson et al., 1985). One might have expected that similar proportions of descending propriospinal neurons would be activated with hip muscle nerve-related timing and knee muscle nerve-related timing. Instead, the timing of activity in all but one of the rhythmically modulated neurons tested was closely related to the activity cycle of a hip flexor muscle nerve. There are at least two possible explanations for this finding. One explanation is that many knee control-related propriospinal neurons exist, but do not have descending axons in the region from which recordings were obtained. Instead, they may have (1) only local axons that do not leave the gray matter, (2) only ascending funicular axons, (3) descending funicular axons that terminate rostral to the caudal D9 segment, or (4) descending axons in the ventral funiculus or the ventral-most lateral funiculus. An alternate explanation is that the turtle spinal cord is able to generate the appropriate knee–hip synergies for fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching without requiring knee control-related propriospinal neurons.

The possibility that one set of spinal neurons with hip control-related rhythmic activity could create the appropriate knee–hip synergies for both rostral scratching and pocket scratching was examined by considering models for the selection and generation of rostral scratch and pocket scratch motor patterns (Fig. 9). In a very simple model (Fig. 9A), some spinal neurons might be activated by tactile stimulation throughout the rostral scratch receptive field and not activated at all by stimulation in the pocket scratch receptive field. These neurons would then activate a set of spinal neurons that generates a rostral scratch motor pattern. An entirely different set of spinal neurons would be activated by tactile stimulation in the pocket scratch receptive field and would itself activate a separate set of pocket scratch–generating spinal neurons. The data presented in the preceding companion article (Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b) and this article are inconsistent with such a model on two counts. First, the descending propriospinal neurons recorded had tactile excitatory receptive fields that did not match either the rostral scratch or the pocket scratch receptive field. Most such neurons were activated by tactile stimulation in both the rostral scratch receptive field and the pocket scratch receptive field, but were broadly tuned to a particular region of the body surface (Fig. 9 of Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b). This observation suggests that the two populations of neurons responsible for these two forms of scratching may be largely overlapping. Second, many such neurons were rhythmically activated during both fictive rostral scratching and fictive pocket scratching. This finding suggests that the two populations of neurons responsible for
generation of these two forms of scratching also may be largely overlapping. In fact, since the same recorded neurons were broadly tuned to regions of the body surface and were rhythmically activated during the two forms of fictive scratching, single propriospinal neurons may contribute to both selection and generation of both rostral scratching and pocket scratching.

The model illustrated in Figure 9B incorporates both of these findings and shows how hip control-related neurons alone could create appropriate knee–hip synergies during these two forms of fictive scratching. The key elements of this model are four sets of propriospinal neurons: “excitatory pocket scratch neurons” (EPs), “inhibitory pocket scratch neurons” (IPs), “excitatory rostral scratch neurons” (ERs), and “inhibitory rostral scratch neurons” (IRs). (The model also includes several sets of
Figure 9. Two alternative models for the selection and generation of rostral scratching and pocket scratching by neural circuitry in the turtle spinal cord. A. A model involving completely separate populations of spinal neurons for selection of rostral scratching and pocket scratching, as well as separate populations of spinal neurons for generation of these two forms of scratching. The data are not consistent with this model. B. A model involving overlapping populations of spinal neurons that are broadly tuned to regions of the body surface. Each neuron contributes to some degree to selection and generation of each motor pattern. Each neuron projects, directly or indirectly, to both hip muscle and knee muscle motoneurons. The data in the preceding companion article (Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b) and the present data appear to be consistent with this model. The top row in each model depicts the firing rates of the neurons represented in the row immediately below, as a function of site on the body surface. Output connections of these neurons are represented in the bottom row. A, excitatory synaptic connections; , inhibitory synaptic connections. See Discussion for detailed comparison of the data to these models. CPG, central pattern generator; MNs, motoneurons.

propriospinal neurons that provide reciprocal inhibition of antagonists; these will not be discussed.) Each EP and each IP is broadly tuned to a region of the pocket scratch receptive field. Each EP and each IP projects to both knee extensor and hip extensor motoneurons (either monosynaptically or polysynaptically). EPs produce excitation in both knee extensor and hip extensor motoneurons; IPs produce inhibition in both knee extensor and hip extensor motoneurons; EPs and IPs burst alternately because each set inhibits the other (either monosynaptically or polysynaptically). Activity in EPs leads to synchronous excitation of knee extensor and hip extensor motoneurons; activity in IPs leads to synchronous inhibition of knee extensor and hip extensor motoneurons. Thus, knee extension occurs during hip extension in pocket scratching. Analogously, each ER and each IR is broadly tuned to a region of the rostral scratch receptive field and projects to both knee extensor and hip flexor motoneurons. Thus, knee extension occurs during hip flexion in rostral scratching. Because these propriospinal neurons are only broadly tuned, knee extensor motoneurons receive temporally overlapping excitation and inhibition from populations of propriospinal neurons tuned to different regions of the body surface, integrating these inputs to achieve the appropriate timing of knee extension within the hip activity cycle. Thus, the appropriate knee–hip synergy is not established prior to activation of motoneurons; integration in the motoneurons themselves contributes to forming the motor pattern. Recent experiments in electric fish have shown that signals from two separate populations of neurons responsible for increasing and decreasing the frequency of electric organ discharge are integrated only in last-order interneurons (Metzner, 1993); however, the motor pattern is apparently established at this point, prior to the activation of motoneurons.

The above analysis suggests that spinal circuitry involving activation of two sets of motoneurons by each propriospinal neuron could account for generation of the appropriate motor synergies underlying rostral scratching and pocket scratching without the need for knee control-related propriospinal neurons. Whether single turtle propriospinal neurons actually project to two sets of motoneurons is a question for future investigation. However, intracellular recordings from FT-KE (knee extensor), VP-HP (hip flexor), and HR-KF (hip extensor) motoneurons during fictive scratching have already provided supportive ev-
idence for this hypothesis (Robertson and Stein, 1988). The membrane voltage trajectory of FT-KE motoneurons was similar to the voltage trajectory of VP-HP motoneurons during fictive rostral scratching, and was similar to the voltage trajectory of HR-KF motoneurons during fictive pocket scratching. This demonstrates that knee extensor and hip flexor motoneurons receive similar inputs during fictive rostral scratching and that knee extensor and hip extensor motoneurons receive similar inputs during fictive pocket scratching, as suggested by the model in Figure 9B. In addition, in just those fictive rostral scratch cycles in which the HR-KF burst was missing (termed HR-KF deletions), a portion of the hyperpolarizing wave of the FT-KE voltage trajectory was also missing (Robertson and Stein, 1988, their Fig. 6A). These results suggested to the authors that knee and hip motoneurons may receive rhythmic inputs from the same set of interneurons.

The model shown in Figure 9B also predicts that turtle knee extensor motoneurons integrate excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs that overlap temporally. Intracellular recordings from turtle hindlimb motoneurons have shown that FT-KE motoneurons, in particular, do receive temporally overlapping synaptic excitation and inhibition, at least during fictive rostral scratching (Robertson and Stein, 1988). The model in Figure 9B is qualitatively consistent with the available evidence. A quantitative and detailed comparison of the model to this and other experimental evidence would be facilitated by computer simulations of the model’s operation. Such simulations could also lead to additional predictions for the turtle spinal circuitry underlying selection and generation of different forms of scratching.

The finding that many descending propriospinal axons in the turtle hindlimb enlargement were rhythmically activated during fictive scratching agrees well with previous findings that most ventral spinocerebellar tract (VSCT) neurons (Arshavsky et al., 1978), most lumbar Ia inhibitory interneurons (Deliagina and Orlovsky, 1980), most unidentified lumbar neurons (Berkinblit et al., 1978a; Babev et al., 1981), and some descending propriospinal neurons with cervical or thoracic cell bodies (Berkinblit et al., 1977) in the cat were rhythmically activated during fictive scratching. Most VSCT neurons, Ia interneurons, and unidentified lumbar neurons generated bursts of action potentials separated by silent periods. The VSCT neurons (Arshavsky et al., 1978) and the unidentified lumbar neurons (Berkinblit et al., 1978a) were each divided into three functional groups, based on the phases of fictive scratching in which the neuron’s bursts began and ended. In contrast, in the study of a small number of cat descending propriospinal neurons with cervical or thoracic cell bodies, most were not rhythmically modulated during fictive scratching, and those that were rhythmically modulated were only weakly so (Berkinblit et al., 1977). In the present study, descending propriospinal neurons in the turtle showed a wide range of strengths of rhythmic modulation.

**Phases of unit activity in ipsilateral and contralateral fictive scratching**

Many descending propriospinal neurons recorded were rhythmically activated during both ipsilateral and contralateral fictive scratching. This finding is somewhat surprising, because studies of scratching have generally focused on ipsilateral neural circuitry. On the other hand, there are many propriospinal neurons with descending crossed axons in turtles (Kusuma and ten Donkelaar, 1980; Berkowitz and Stein, 1994a), as well as in lampreys (Buchanan, 1982; Ohta et al., 1991), embryonic tadpoles (see Roberts, 1989), embryonic newts (Harper and Roberts, 1993), goldfish (Fetcho, 1991), lizards (ten Donkelaar and de Boer van Huizen, 1978; Kusuma and ten Donkelaar, 1980), chicks (Oppeheim et al., 1988), and mammals (Burton and Loewy, 1976; Molenar, 1978; Molenar and Kuyper, 1978; Matsushita et al., 1979; Menetrey et al., 1985; Hongo et al., 1989; Cassidy and Cabana, 1993). In addition, during turtle fictive scratching evoked by unilateral tactile stimulation, some hindlimb muscle nerves on the opposite side of the body are often activated with a clear rhythm (Fig. 6; see also Currie and Stein, 1989, their Fig. 5CD). Berkowitz and Stein, 1994b). The contralateral VP-HP bursts are typically weak; they usually alternate with the strong ipsilateral VP-HP bursts.

Several other lines of evidence demonstrate that contralateral motoneurons and muscles are active during scratching by the ipsilateral hindlimb. Sherrington (1910) observed contractions of contralateral hindlimb muscles during cat scratching; his impression was that this activity was “steady and maintained.” O’Donovan et al. (1982) demonstrated rhythmic activity in recordings from contralateral hindlimb muscles during cat scratching. Deliagina et al. (1981) demonstrated that some contralateral hindlimb motoneurons were rhythmically active during fictive cat scratching. In addition, Deliagina (1977) suggested that crossed descending propriospinal pathways in the cervical spinal cord are important for the initiation of cat scratching (evoked by tactile stimulation of the ear), but that lumbar descending propriospinal pathways involved in scratching are entirely ipsilateral. Berkinblit et al. (1978a) destroyed part of the cat lumbar (L3–L5) spinal gray matter unilaterally and noted that fictive scratching could still be evoked on the opposite side. Berkinblit et al. (1977) found that no descending propriospinal neurons with cervical or thoracic cell bodies were excited during contralateral tactile stimulation of the cat’s ear or during contralateral fictive scratching, although thoracic cells could be inhibited; this study, however, involved only a small number of propriospinal neurons. Thus, the present study is the first demonstration that some propriospinal circuitry is rhythmically activated during scratch motor patterns evoked by stimulation of either side of the body. It is possible that the spinal circuitry underlying scratching is substantially different in the turtle and the cat; however, further examination of the propriospinal circuitry involved in cat scratching may be useful.

Contralateral hindlimb movement during scratching might contribute a useful element to the behavior. For example, it may provide a counterbalance when the ipsilateral hindlimb moves. One of the postures that intact cats assume during scratching is a tripod posture in which the contralateral hindlimb contributes to supporting the animal (Kuhta and Smith, 1990). The contralateral neuronal activity may be a reflection of general spinal motor control circuitry that has evolved to produce out of phase excitation and inhibition of homonymous muscles on the two sides during other behaviors. In any case, many descending propriospinal neurons may contribute to generation of the bilateral components of fictive scratching. For many such neurons, the unit’s phase in the right hip flexor activity cycle during stimulation of the left side was similar to the unit’s phase in the right hip flexor activity cycle during stimulation of the right side. For other neurons, the unit’s phase in the right hip flexor activity cycle during left side stimulation was opposite its phase during right side stimulation. Either or both groups of neurons might be involved in creating left–right alternation of
homonymous muscle activity, depending on which motor pools they project to and the presence or absence of an interposed inhibitory interneuron. Alternatively, one group may contribute to left-right alternation of hindlimb movements, as occurs during forward swimming and forward walking, and the other group may contribute to left-right synchrony of hindlimb movements, as occurs during backward escape swimming and during simultaneous withdrawal of all four limbs and the head.

**Strengths of rhythmic modulation of unit activity**

Most descending propriospinal neurons tested generated spikes at a higher rate when they were active with weaker rhythmic modulation (Fig. 8). This finding seems countercurrentive. However, it may shed light on the mechanisms used in motor pattern generation in the turtle spinal cord. One possible explanation is that, for the higher single-unit firing rates observed, these propriospinal neurons (or neurons that synaptically activated them) had approached their peak firing rates. Thus, if these neurons were in fact saturated, additional rhythmic depolarization would not be reflected in the neuron's firing rate. If this were the case, it would suggest either that these neurons did not contribute to generating the very strongly rhythmic activity observed in motoneurons or that these neurons are normally active at lower rates. However, since this study used tactile stimulation of the body surface, rather than electrical stimulation within the nervous system, it is unlikely that the firing rates observed in these neurons were higher than they would be in the intact, behaving animal.

An alternate explanation is that rhythmic inhibition is more important than rhythmic excitation in turtle spinal cord pattern generation. In this scenario, pattern-generating neurons would receive excitatory inputs that were only weakly rhythmic in combination with inhibitory inputs that were more strongly rhythmic. If descending propriospinal neurons received different proportions of such excitatory and inhibitory signals during tactile stimulation of different sites, it could account for the observed relationship between firing rate and strength of rhythmic modulation: whenever a propriospinal neuron received a greater proportion of inhibition, it fired at a lower rate, but with stronger rhythmic modulation. This explanation receives support from the demonstrated importance of glycnergic inhibition in generation of rhythm motor patterns in lampreys (Grillner and Wallen, 1980) and embryonic tadpoles (Roberts et al., 1985). These two explanations could be evaluated by intracellular recording from descending propriospinal neurons.
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